PTO/SB/21 (12-97)
Approved for use through 9/30/00. OMB 0651-0031
Patent and Trademark Office: U.S. DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

Under the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995, no persons are required to respond to			Patent and T Collection of Info	rademark Office: U.S. DEPARTMENT OF COMMER
		Application Number	10/699,077	RECFIVED
TRANSMITTAL		Filing Date	October 31,	2003 CENTRAL FAX CENTE
FORM		First Named Inventor	Leslie ROSS	MAY 1 8 2005
(to be used for all correspondence after Initial filing)		Group Art Unit	1771	
		Examiner Name	Hai VO	Fax: (571) 273-8300
Total No. of Pages in this Submission: 3		Attorney Docket Number	BRONIG P65	AUS
ENCLOSURES (check all that apply)				
☐ Fee Transmittal Form		☐ Assignment papers  (for an Application)	_	☐ After Allowance Communication to Group
☐ Fee attached  ■ Response		Drawing(s)	☐ Appeal Communication to Board	
☐ After Final		☐ Licensing-related Papers	i	of Appeals and Interferences
☐ Affidavits/declaration(s)		□ Petition Routing Slip (PTO/SB/69) and Accompanying Petition □ To Convert a Provisional Petition □ Power of Attorney, Revocation Change of Correspondence Address		<ul> <li>□ Appeal Communication to Group (Appeal Notice, Brief, Reply Brief)</li> <li>□ Proprietary Information</li> <li>□ Status Letter</li> </ul>
Extension of Time Request				
☐ Express Abandonment Request				
☐ Information Disclosure Statement				☐ Additional Enclosure(s)  (please identify below):
☐ Certified Copy of Priority Document(s)		☐ Terminal Disclaimer ☐ Small Entity Statement		
☐ Response to Missing Part/s		☐ Request for Refund		
Incomplete Application		,		
☐ Response to Missing Parts under 37 CFR 1.52 or 1.53				
REMARKS				
SIGNATURE OF APPLICANT, ATTORNEY, OR AGENT				
Firm or Individual Name	Michael J. Bujolo DAVI\$ & BUJOL			Reg. No. 32,018 CUSTOMER NO. 020210
Signature Rule Marel				
Date May 18, 2005				
CERTIFICATE OF TRANSMISSION				
I hereby certify that this correspondence is being facsimile transmitted to the USPTO on May 18, 2005				
Type or printed name Michael J. Bujold				
Signature Muleul Taylo Date: May 18, 2005				

5/18/05

## PATENT APPLICATION

# IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

In re Application of

Leslie ROSS

Serial no. Filed

10/699.077

For

October 31, 2003

Group Art Unit

COMPOSITE SHEET MATERIAL 1771

Examiner

Hai VO **BRONIG P65AUS**  RECEIVED

Docket

CENTRAL FAX CENTER

The Commissioner for Patents U.S. Patent & Trademark Office

MAY 1 8 2005

P. O. Box 1450

Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

### RESPONSE

## Dear Sir:

This response is being filed in reply to the office action mailed April 29, 2005. In that action, the Examiner has required restriction under 35 U.S.C. § 121 between the following groups of claims:

- Claims 1-10, drawn to a composite sheet, classified in class 428, 1. subclass 319.3; or
- Claims 11-15, drawn to a method of bending a composite sheet, classified in 11 class 264, subclass 295.

Withdrawal of the raised restriction requirement is respectfully requested in view of the following remarks.

In requiring restriction, the Examiner characterizes the inventions of Groups I and II to be related as "process of making and product made". The Examiner then alleges that the product as claimed can be made by another and materially different process such as where the skins are bound to the expanded and cured resin during the molding. In making this statement, the Examiner appears to have ignored the stated limitations in the Group I and II claims which both cover a composite sheet material "comprising a core formed from thermoplastic cellular material having a first side and a second side parallel to the first side, a first skin of continuous thermoplastic sheet material on the first side of the core and a second skin of continuous thermoplastic sheet material on the second side of the core" (see claims 1, 8 and 11, lines 1-4). Similar limitations are found in claims 14 and 15.

It is respectfully submitted that if the skins of the composite sheet material are bound to the expanded and cured resin during the molding, as alleged by the Examiner, such process would not be a materially different from the process recited in the Group II method claims.

#### 10/699,077

Accordingly, the limitations claims 1-13, at the very least, are so closely related to one another that infringement of Group II method claims 11-13 will almost certainly produce a composite sheet material in accordance with the claims of Group I as well as infringement of the Group I composite sheet claims will almost certainly be produced by the method Group II method claims 11-13. Therefore, the Applicant respectfully submits that the product, as presently claimed, cannot be made by materially different method and the method, as presently claimed, cannot make a materially different product.

In requiring restriction, the Examiner also notes that the inventions are classified in different classes and subclasses, thus alluding to the fact that the inventions would involve divergent fields of search. However, as the Examiner is well aware, such a factor per se is not a basis for determining distinctiveness in accordance with MPEP 806.

Furthermore, it is respectfully submitted that there is nothing in 35 U.S.C. § 121 that gives the U.S. Patent Office the authority to require restriction between different statutory classes of claims unless the claims cover "independent" and "distinct" inventions. It is respectfully submitted that the statutory requirements have not been met for Groups I and II. Therefore, the Examiner should withdraw the requirement for restriction and provide the Applicant with an action on the merits for all claims contained within this application.

In summary, it is respectfully submitted that all of the pending claims are believed to be directed to a single invention. However, so as to be fully responsive, the Applicant elects the invention disclosed in Group I (claims 1-10) and it is requested that, without further action thereon, claims 11-15 be retained in this application pending disposition of this case and for possible filing of a divisional application(s).

In the event that there are any fee deficiencies or additional fees are payable, please charge the same or credit any overpayment to our Deposit Account (Account No. 04-0213).

Respectfully submitted,

Michael J. Bujóki, Reg 196. 32,018

Customer No. 020210

Davis & Bujold, P.L.E.C.

Fourth Floor

500 North Commercial Street Manchester NH 03101-1151

Telephone 603-624-9220 Facsimile 603-624-9229

E-mail: patent@davisandbujold.com